# Proof of a conjecture: Sum of two square integers can produce infinitely many primes. 

Author: Debajit Das


#### Abstract

According to Euclid's theorem there exist infinitely many primes in our numbering system. But the distribution of these prime numbers is so irregular that nobody knows the relation among all the primes. That is why; it has borne the brunt of so many conjectures. One of the vital conjectures is regarding the fact that sum of two square integers, obviously in combination with odd and even, can produce prime and composite both as physically observed. Now the question is whether the produced primes are extended up to infinity or not? I believe that I have been able to give a proof in support of this conjecture with the help of N-equation published in Aug-edition, Vol-4 of IJSER.
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## 1. Introduction

Please refer my paper published in Aug-edition 2013, Vol-4 of IJSER.
$N$-equation has been defined as $\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 \alpha \beta)^{2}=\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right)^{2}$, where $\alpha, \beta$ are positive integers named as zygote elements. $\left(\alpha^{2} \pm \beta^{2}\right)$ are known as zygote expressions which are conjugate to each other.
For $\alpha>\beta$, the zygote ratio ( $Z_{r}=\alpha / \beta$ ) divides the $N$-equation into two kinds of equations.
For $Z_{r}<(\sqrt{2}+1)$ it is $1^{\text {st }}$ kind \& for $Z_{r}>(\sqrt{2}+1)$ it is of $2^{\text {nd }}$ kind. For $a<b<c$ when $c-b$ is in the form of $(2 n-1)^{2}$ it is $1^{\text {st }}$ kind \& when $c-b$ is in the form of $2 n^{2}$ it is of $2^{\text {nd }}$ kind where $n$ is a positive integer. This $(2 n-1)^{2}$ or $2 n^{2}$ is called natural constant (k).
For a N -equation $\mathrm{a}^{2}+\mathrm{b}^{2}=\mathrm{c}^{2}$ where a \& c are odd integers, the prime numbers excepting two can be divided into two types. Those who belong to ' $c$ ' as a prime number can be said as type-2 and the rest can be said as type-1.
Any composite number that satisfies c contains all prime factors of $2^{\text {nd }}$ type only.
The easiest way to recognize these $2^{\text {nd }}$ type prime numbers is that when unit digit is 1 or 9 the $10^{\text {th }}$ digit must be even and when unit digit is 3 or 7 the $10^{\text {th }}$ digit must be odd. By digital analysis or otherwise it can be easily proved.
Another thing is to be noted that all though N -equation $\mathrm{a}^{2}+\mathrm{b}^{2}=\mathrm{c}^{2}$ produces composite set intermittently but our concern is for prime set only i.e. $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b, c)=1$.
Any relation $N=a_{1}{ }^{2}+b_{1}{ }^{2}=a_{2}{ }^{2}+b_{2}{ }^{2}=\ldots \ldots$. is obtained by virtue of $N_{s}$ operation in between two elements that satisfy $c$ of a $N$-eq. With this refreshment we can proceed further.
2. If $c_{1} \& c_{2}$ satisfy $c$ of $a^{\text {st }}$ kind $N$-equation $a^{2}+b^{2}=c^{2}$ under a particular value of natural constant ( $k$ ) then $c_{1} c_{2}$ can also satisfy c of the same equation.

Consider any two elements $c_{1} \& c_{2}$ that satisfy $c$ of the $1^{\text {st }}$ kind N -equation as
$c_{1}=\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2} \& c_{2}=(\alpha+p)^{2}+(\beta+p)^{2}$ where $\alpha>\beta \& k=(\alpha-\beta)^{2}$.
Now, by Ns operation $c_{1} c_{2}=\left\{\left(\alpha^{2}+p \alpha\right) \pm\left(\beta^{2}+p \beta\right)\right\}^{2}+\{(\alpha \beta+p \alpha)-/+(\alpha \beta+p \beta)\}^{2}$
$\Rightarrow c_{1} c_{2}=\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}+p \alpha+p \beta\right)^{2}+(p \alpha-p \beta)^{2}$ or $=\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}+p \alpha-p \beta\right)^{2}+(2 \alpha \beta+p \alpha+p \beta)^{2}$
For the first case $k=\left\{\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}+p \alpha+p \beta\right)-(p \alpha-p \beta)\right\}^{2}=\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}+2 p \beta\right)^{2}$.
If it falls under same $k=(\alpha-\beta)^{2}$ then $\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}+2 p \beta=\alpha-\beta$ or, $\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}+2 p \beta+\beta=\alpha$ which is absurd.
For the $2^{\text {nd }}$ case which term is greater not clear? Let us take both the cases.
$K= \pm\left\{\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}+p \alpha-p \beta\right)-/+(2 \alpha \beta+p \alpha+p \beta)\right\}^{2} \&$ equating this with $k=(\alpha-\beta)^{2}$, we get
$2 p=\alpha(\alpha \pm 1) / \beta-(\beta+2 \alpha \pm 1)$
Now $\alpha(\alpha \pm 1) / \beta$ will be integer when $\beta$ is a factor of $(\alpha \pm 1)$ as $\operatorname{gcd}(\alpha, \beta)=1$ Moreover, $\alpha / \beta<\sqrt{ } 2+1$ i.e. $\beta>(\sqrt{ } 2-1) \alpha \& p \geq 0$.
Then only $\mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2}$ exists.
If $\beta=1$ only accepted value of $\alpha$ is $2 \&$ all other values of $\alpha$ will invite $2^{\text {nd }}$ kind $N$-equation as because $\alpha / \beta>(\sqrt{ } 2+1)$ for $\alpha>2$.
For $\alpha=2 \& \beta=1, p=0$. It indicates $\left(2^{2}+1^{2}\right) \&\left(2^{2}+1^{2}\right)^{2}$ i.e. $5 \& 5^{2}$ both satisfy $N$-equation of $k=(2-1)^{2}$ i.e. $k=1$
So, if $c_{1} \& c_{2}$ both satisfy $c$ of a $N$-equation of $1^{s t}$ kind, $c_{1} c_{2}$ can also satisfy $c$ again of the same equation subject to condition that the lower zygote element $\beta$ must be a factor of $(\alpha \pm 1)$ such that $\beta>(\sqrt{ } 2-1) \alpha$ \& corresponding $p$ must be integer $\geq 0$.
3. If $c_{1} \& c_{2}$ satisfy $c$ of a $2^{\text {nd }}$ kind $N$-equation $a^{2}+b^{2}=c^{2}$ under a particular value of natural constant ( $k$ ) then $c_{1} c_{2}$ cannot satisfy c of the same equation.

Here, we can consider any two elements $c_{1}=\beta^{2}+\alpha^{2} \& c_{2}=\delta^{2}+\alpha^{2}$ under $k=2 \alpha^{2}$. Obviously, $\beta, \delta>\alpha \&$ say $\delta>\beta$
Then by $N_{s}$ operation $c_{1} c_{2}=\left(\beta \delta \pm \alpha^{2}\right)^{2}+(\alpha \delta-/+\alpha \beta)^{2}$ having $k=2(\alpha \delta-/+\alpha \beta)^{2}$ or $k=2\left(\beta \delta \pm \alpha^{2}\right)^{2}$.
Equating both with $k=2 \alpha^{2}$ we get either $(\alpha \delta-/+\alpha \beta)=\alpha$ or $\left(\beta \delta \pm \alpha^{2}\right)=\alpha$
First one implies $\delta-/+\beta=1$ which is absurd.
Second one i.e. $\left(\beta \delta \pm \alpha^{2}\right)=\alpha$ modifies the expression $c_{1} c_{2}$ as $\alpha^{2} .\left[1+(\delta \pm \beta)^{2}\right]$ which are the composite sets of (a, b. c) with c.f. $\alpha^{2}$ under the leading set of $\mathrm{k}=1$ or all the sets of $\mathrm{k}=2.1^{2}$

Hence, $c_{1} c_{2}$ cannot satisfy $c$ for a particular value of $k$ if the equation is already satisfied by $c_{1} \& c_{2}$. It also implies that if $\mathrm{c}_{1}$ satisfies $\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{c}_{1}{ }^{2}$ cannot satisfy c . In general $\mathrm{c}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}$ cannot satisfy c again.

## 4. Every composite number that satisfies $c$ of $2^{\text {nd }}$ kind $N$-equation must contain at least one factor or one prime factor which does not satisfy $c$.

Let us consider a composite number $N=p_{1}{ }^{n 1} \cdot p_{2} \cdot{ }^{n 2} \cdot p_{3}{ }^{n 3} \ldots \ldots$ where $p$ denotes prime, obviously of $2^{\text {nd }}$ type, of a $2^{\text {nd }}$ kind $N$-equation. Now divide it into two parts P \& Q such that $N=P . Q$
So, P, Q both cannot satisfy c. There exists at least one which does not belong to c which can be named as Outside factor ( $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{f}}$ ) Hence, proves the theorem.

## 5. Nature of outside factor $\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ of a $2^{\text {nd }}$ kind N -equation.

Say, $c_{1}$ satisfies c \& $c_{1}=\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}$ where $\alpha>\beta \& k=2 \beta^{2}$ and $c_{2}$ does not satisfy $c \& c_{2}=a^{2}+b^{2}$ where $a>b \& k \neq 2 \beta^{2}$.
Now, by $N_{s}$ operation in between $c_{1} \& c_{2}$ we have $c_{1} c_{2}=(a \alpha \pm b \beta)^{2}+(b \alpha-/+a \beta)^{2}$
If $c_{1} c_{2}$ satisfy $c$ then either $|b \alpha-/+a \beta|=\beta$ or $a \alpha \pm b \beta=\beta$
From first one with $(+)$ sign, $b \alpha=\beta(1-a)$ is not valid as LHS is positive integer \& RHS is negative integer.
Hence, $b \alpha=\beta(a \pm 1)$ or, $(\alpha / \beta)=(a \pm 1) / b$.
From $2^{\text {nd }}$ one, one is rejected on same ground $\&$ from other we get $(\alpha / \beta)=(1+b) /$ a which is not acceptable as LHS $>1 \&$ RHS $<1$.
Hence, $(\alpha / \beta)=(a \pm 1) / b$. As $\operatorname{gcd}(\alpha, \beta)=1$ we can assume $(a \pm 1)=p \alpha \& b=p \beta$.
Hence, $c_{2}=a^{2}+b^{2}$ modifies as $c_{2}=(p a \pm 1)^{2}+(p \beta)^{2} \& c_{1} c_{2}$ satisfies $c$ where $p$ is even otherwise even-odd combination of zygote expression will be disturbed.
So, any zygote expression of c i.e. $c_{1}=\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}$ for $k=2 \beta^{2}$ can produce infinite nos. of outside factors.
Any composite number of $c$ is constituted either by $O_{f}$ only or by the product of Inside factors $\left(I_{f}\right) \& O_{f}$.
So, whatever prime numbers will be produced from $c$, they cannot constitute any composite number that will satisfy $c$.
For outside factor $Z_{r}=(p \alpha \pm 1) / p \beta=(\alpha / \beta) \pm 1 /(p \beta)$ where $(\alpha / \beta)>\sqrt{2}+1$ implies that $Z_{r}$ may be $>\sqrt{ } 2+1$ or may be $<\sqrt{ } 2+1$.
Hence, $Z_{r}$ may belong to $1^{\text {st }}$ kind or may belong to $2^{\text {nd }}$ kind.
While considering $O_{f}$, $\alpha$ should be considered right from $\beta+1 \&$ then $\beta+3, \beta+5 . B+7, \ldots \ldots$. i.e. entire sequence of $c$ where initial some portion is of $1^{\text {st }}$ kind and then $2^{\text {nd }}$ kind. $1^{\text {st }}$ kind portion will behave as per property discussed in Heading-2 and $2^{\text {nd }}$ kind portion will behave as per Heading-3.
Obviously, $\{(\beta+1)+(n-1) 2\} / \beta<\sqrt{ } 2+1$ or, $n<(\sqrt{ } 2 \beta+1) / 2$ i.e. $n=[(\sqrt{ } 2 \beta+1) / 2]$ where $[x]$ denotes greatest integer of $x \& n$ is nos. of terms under $1^{\text {st }}$ kind.
So, if we consider a sequence of $c$ as $\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}$ where $\alpha=\beta+1, \beta+3, \beta+5, \ldots \ldots$, the sequence will remain under $1^{\text {st }}$ kind up to $n=$ $[(\sqrt{ } 2 \beta+1) / 2]$ and then it will switch over to $2^{\text {nd }}$ kind.

## 6. The outside factor for a $2^{\text {nd }}$ kind $\mathbf{N}$-equation cannot be repeated.

Let's consider two elements $c_{1}=\alpha_{1}^{2}+\beta^{2} \& c_{3}=\alpha_{3}^{2}+\beta^{2}$ satisfying c for a $2^{\text {nd }}$ kind $N$-equation where $k=2 \beta^{2} \& \alpha_{1}$, $\alpha_{3}>\beta$, also $\alpha_{3}>\alpha_{1}$. Suppose, $c_{2}=a^{2}+b^{2}$ is an outside factor having $k \neq 2 \beta^{2}$ and $a>b$.
$\Rightarrow c_{1} c_{2}=\left(a \alpha_{1} \pm b \beta\right)^{2}+\left(b \alpha_{1}-/+a \beta\right)^{2} \& c_{2} c_{3}=\left(a \alpha_{3} \pm b \beta\right)^{2}+\left(b \alpha_{3}-/+a \beta\right)^{2}$
Now, if $c_{1} c_{2} \& c_{2} c_{3}$ both satisfy $c$ then equating $k$ we get:
Against $c_{1} c_{2},\left(b \alpha_{1} \pm a \beta\right)=\beta \&\left(a \alpha_{1} \pm b \beta\right)=\beta \&$ ignoring $(+)$ sign we have $b \alpha_{1}=\beta(a+1) \ldots \ldots . .(A)$ or $a \alpha_{1}=\beta(b+1) \ldots \ldots .(B)$
Similarly, against $c_{2} c_{3}$ we have $\mathrm{ba}_{3}=\beta(a+1)$
(C) or $a \alpha_{3}=\beta(b+1)$

If $(A) \&(C)$ or $(B) \&(D)$ are true that implies $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{3}$ which is not accepted.
If $(A) \&(D)$ are true then $\alpha_{1} / \alpha_{3}=\{a(a+1)\} /\{b(b+1)\}$ which is not accepted as LHS $<1 \& R H S>1$.
If $(B) \&(C)$ are true then $\alpha_{1} / \alpha_{3}=\{b(b+1)\} /\{a(a+1)\}$ which is accepted.
Say, $b(b+1)=p \alpha_{1} \Rightarrow b=\left\{-1+\sqrt{ }\left(4 p \alpha_{1}+1\right)\right\}$ \& similarly $a=\left\{-1+\sqrt{ }\left(4 \alpha_{3}+1\right)\right\}$
$\Rightarrow\left(4 p \alpha_{1}+1\right) \&\left(4 p \alpha_{3}+1\right)$ both must be square integer which is absurd.
Because, if $\left(4 \mathrm{pa}_{1}+1\right)$ is a square integer say, $\mathrm{I}_{1}^{2}$ then $\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}{ }^{2}-1\right) / 4$ i.e. $p \alpha_{1}$ must be product of two consecutive numbers.
Similarly, $p \alpha_{3}$ must be product of two consecutive numbers. Both cannot be true unless $\alpha_{1}, p, \alpha_{3}$ are consecutive three numbers.
If $\alpha_{1}, p, \alpha_{3}$ are consecutive three numbers then $\alpha_{1} \& \alpha_{3}$ are to be two consecutive zygote elements i.e. either two consecutive odd or two consecutive even numbers and both of them cannot be expressed as product of two consecutive numbers simultaneously.

## 7. Total outside factor cannot be repeated but its partial factor can be repeated.

Let us consider an $O_{f}=\left(p \alpha_{1} \pm 1\right)^{2}+(p \beta)^{2}=\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)\left(g^{2}+h^{2}\right)$ of the sequence $c=\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}$ having $k=2 \beta^{2}$ where $a>b$.
Now if the partial factor of $O_{f}$ say $a^{2}+b^{2}$ is present as a factor of an element that satisfies $c$, then $c_{2}=\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)\left(\alpha_{2}{ }^{2}+\beta^{2}\right)$ where $\alpha_{2}>\beta$ Or, $c_{2}=\left(a \alpha_{2} \pm b \beta\right)^{2}+\left(a \beta-/+b \alpha_{2}\right)^{2} \Rightarrow$ any one of $\left|a \alpha_{2} \pm b \beta\right|$ or $\left|a \beta-/+b \alpha_{2}\right|$ is $\beta$ i.e. $\left|a \beta-b \alpha_{2}\right|=\beta$ \& other cases are absurd.
$\Rightarrow \alpha_{2} / \beta=(a \pm 1) / b \Rightarrow a=q \alpha_{2} \pm 1 \& b=q \beta$. Hence $c_{2}=\left\{\left(q \alpha_{2} \pm 1\right)^{2}+(q \beta)^{2}\right\}\left(\alpha_{2}{ }^{2}+\beta^{2}\right)=\left\{\left(q \alpha_{2}{ }^{2} \pm \alpha_{2}\right) \pm q \beta^{2}\right\}^{2}+\left\{\left(q \alpha_{2} \beta \pm \beta\right)-/+q \beta \alpha_{2}\right\}^{2}$.
$\Rightarrow c_{2}=\left\{\alpha_{2}\left(q \alpha_{2}+1\right)+q \beta^{2}\right\}^{2}+\beta^{2} \ldots \ldots . .(A) \&\left|\alpha_{2}\left(q \alpha_{2}-1\right)-q \beta^{2}\right|^{2}+\left(2 q \alpha_{2} \beta-\beta\right)^{2}$
From $B$ we find $2 q \alpha_{2} \beta-\beta \neq \beta$ \& if $\left|\alpha_{2}\left(q \alpha_{2}-1\right)-q \beta^{2}\right|=\beta$ then $\beta=\left[-/+1 \pm \sqrt{ }\left\{1+4 q \alpha_{2}\left(q \alpha_{2}-1\right)\right\}\right] /(2 q)=\alpha_{2}$. which is absurd.
(A) reveals the condition for repetation of a partial factor of an outside factor. The outside factor as a whole cannot be repeated. $\Rightarrow$ every composite number of $c$ must contain one factor (at least one prime from outside factor) which cannot be repeated. This can be said as non-repeating factor.

## 8. GCD of any two non-repeating factors is unity.

Let us consider two non-repeating factors with a common factor $\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)\left(c^{2}+d^{2}\right) \&\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)\left(f^{2}+g^{2}\right)$ where $a>b, c>d \& f>g$. $\Rightarrow(\mathrm{ac} \pm \mathrm{bd})^{2}+(\mathrm{ad}-/+\mathrm{bc})^{2} \equiv\left(\mathrm{pa}_{1}{ }^{2}+\alpha_{1}+\mathrm{p} \beta^{2}\right)^{2}+\beta^{2}$ and $(\mathrm{af} \pm \mathrm{bg})^{2}+(\mathrm{ag}-/+\mathrm{bf})^{2} \equiv\left(\mathrm{q} \alpha_{2}^{2}+\alpha_{2}+q \beta^{2}\right)^{2}+\beta^{2}$
$\Rightarrow$ there exists following six cases.
$a c-b d=\beta \ldots \ldots .(A 1), a d-b c=\beta \ldots \ldots .(A 2), a d+b c=\beta \ldots \ldots .(A 3)$
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af $-b g=\beta \ldots \ldots \ldots$ (B1), ag $-b f=\beta \ldots \ldots \ldots$ (B2), $a g+b f=\beta \ldots \ldots . .(B 3)$
Any one of $(A)$ can be equated with any one of $(B)$ and let us consider one by one.
$A 1 \& B 1: a c-b d=a f-b g \Rightarrow a / b=(d-g) /(c-f) \Rightarrow a / b=d / c=g / f$ which is absurd as $a / b>1$ but $d / c, g / f<1$.
$A 1 \& B 2: a c-b d=a g-b f \Rightarrow a / b=(c-g) /(d-f) \Rightarrow a / b=c / d=g / f$ which is absurd due to same reason.
$A 1 \& B 3: a c-b d=a g+b f \Rightarrow a / b=(d+f) /(c-g) \Rightarrow a / b=d / c=f /(-g)$ which is absurd.
Similarly all the cases are found to be absurd. Even the ratio $a / b=c / d=f / g$ is also not accepted.
Hence, the non-repeating factor is unique not only by magnitude but also with respect to its prime factors and all the prime factors are of $2^{\text {nd }}$ type i.e. can be expressed as $x^{2}+y^{2}$. With respect to a composite number of $c$, partial factor may be present at front or at back in the sequence of $c$.
It happens in all sequences of $c$ i.e. $(\beta+i)^{2}+\beta^{2}$ where $i=1,3,5,7, \ldots \ldots \& \beta=1,2,3,4, \ldots \ldots$, when $2^{\text {nd }}$ kind $N$-equation starts.
Hence, $x^{2}+y^{2}$ can produce infinitely many primes.
9. If $c_{1} \& c_{2}$ that satisfy $c$ of a $N$-equation have a common factor $q=a^{2}+b^{2}$ then after $N_{s}$ operation we have $c_{1}=q\left(\alpha_{1}{ }^{2}+\beta_{1}{ }^{2}\right)$ $=f_{1}{ }^{2}+g_{1}{ }^{2}=f_{2}{ }^{2}+g_{2}{ }^{2} \& c_{2}=q\left(\alpha_{2}{ }^{2}+\beta_{2}{ }^{2}\right)=f_{3}{ }^{2}+g_{3}{ }^{2}=f_{4}{ }^{2}+g_{4}{ }^{2}$ where all zygote elements $f_{i}, g_{i}$ are bound to be different subject to condition that $\operatorname{gcd}\left\{(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})\right.$ or $\left.\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}, \beta_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right\}=1$

From previous analysis under heading -8 we can easily conclude this theorem.

## 10. There exist infinitely many primes of type-1.

We have already classified two types of prime numbers. The first type of prime number $P$ cannot satisfy the element ' $c$ ' of $N$ equation but $2^{\text {nd }}$ type $Q$ can satisfy the same.

Any composite odd number which can be defined as
$N=\left(p_{1}{ }^{n 1} \cdot p_{2}{ }^{n 2} \cdot p_{3}{ }^{n 3} \ldots \ldots\right) \cdot\left(q_{1}{ }^{m 1} \cdot q_{2}{ }^{m 2} \cdot q_{3}{ }^{m 3} \ldots \ldots\right)$, where $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, \ldots \ldots \in P$ and
$q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, \ldots . . \in Q \&$ all $p_{i}, q_{i} \leq(N / 3)$ will be always in the form of $(o d d)^{2}-(\text { even })^{2}$ when $\sum n_{i}=$ an even integer or $n_{1}=n_{2}=n_{3}=\ldots .=$ 0 and in the form of (even) ${ }^{2}$ (odd) $)^{2}$ when $\sum n_{i}=$ an odd integer. Obviously, $1^{\text {st }}$ type is of the form $4 x+1$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ type is of $4 x-1$ where $x=1,2,3, \ldots \ldots$.
Let the first case is denoted by $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ \& second case is denoted by $\mathrm{N}_{1}$.
$\Rightarrow N_{1} \& P$ are the symbol of composite odd \& prime numbers that are capable of producing a relation (even) ${ }^{2}-(\text { odd })^{2}$ and $N_{2} \& Q$ are capable of producing a relation (odd) $)^{2}-(\text { even })^{2}$.

This two type of odd composite elements $N_{1} \& N_{2}$ alternately come in our numbering system and when there is a break of continuity, the gap is filled up by a newborn prime number of respective category. It implies that twin primes are bound to be of opposite nature. Type-1 category composite number ( $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ ) cannot be constituted by type-2 prime numbers ( Q ) only.
It implies that in between two consecutive composite numbers at least one must contain a prime factor of type-1 category.
Consider a number $N_{2}=\left(Q_{1} Q_{2} Q_{3} \ldots \ldots . Q_{n}\right) \cdot P_{1}{ }^{2}$ where $P_{1}$ is the $1^{\text {st }}$ prime of type-1 i.e. 3 and where $Q_{n}$ is the $n^{\text {th }}$ prime $\& 0<n<\infty$
Now, consider the number $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{2}+2$.
If $C$ is prime then it must be of type- $1>P_{1}$
If $C$ is composite then it must contain a prime factor of type- $1>P_{1}$
Now, consider a number $C_{1}=\left(Q_{1} Q_{2} Q_{3} \ldots \ldots . Q_{n}\right) \cdot P_{1}{ }^{2} P_{2}{ }^{2}$ where $P_{2}$ is next to prime $P_{1}$.
Same logic can be established here also.
Hence, type-1 primes also exist infinitely.

## Conclusion:

With the proof of this conjecture and also from the property of N-equation published in Aug-edition 2013 \& the theory of Ramanujan relations of higher exponents published in April \& May edition 2014 of IJSER we can conclude the following facts as a corollary.
a) for $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{n}}$, if $\mathrm{n}=2, \mathrm{~N}$ must contain all prime factors of type-2 category only but if n is prime $>2$ for which N exists, N must contain at least one prime factor of type-1 category which fails to be expressed as $\mathrm{x}^{2}+\mathrm{y}^{2}$.
b) for $\mathrm{n} \geq 3$, (a, b), (c, d), $\ldots \ldots .$. are the roots of an algebraic polynomial equation of single variable of degree ( $\mathrm{n}-1$ ) where one root cannot be equal to zero. Hence, $a^{n}+b^{n}=c^{n}, n \geq 3$ which is known as Fermat's Last Theorem (FLT), has no existence. As I believe, this is the easiest and simplest way to prove FLT.
c) $4 x \pm 1$ where $x=1,2,3, \ldots \ldots$ will produce infinitely many primes.
d) for a particular value of $b$ the sequence $N=4 x+b$ where $x=1,2,3, \ldots \ldots$ cannot produce odd composite or prime of mixed nature.
e) general term ( $T_{n+1}$ ) of the sequence $4 x+1$ or $4 x-1$ after a particular term ' $b$ ' can be written as $4 n+b$ which is more generalized \& can produce infinitely many primes for $n=1,2,3, \ldots \ldots$. . Obviously ' b ' is any odd number \& the cases where $\mathrm{gcd}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{b}) \neq 1$ can be ignored. But $4 n+b$ is still a particular case of Dirichlet's general theorem (1837)
f) From the property of N -equation \& the theory of Ramanujan relations, I believe that so many things are still left out to be explored
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